Understanding the Nationality & Borders Bill pt1

This is the first in a series of 3 zines breaking down the **Nationality & Borders Bill**, commonly referred to as the **#antirefugeebill**.

#### This bill is inhumane.

Its creation perpetuates the commonly held and false division between 'good' and 'bad' people seeking safety — criminalising and dehumanising these people in the process.

# 'Entry' versus 'Arrival'

It's currently an offence to 'enter' the country without permission. The Bill will change this to make 'arrival' an offence.

#### But what does this mean?

#### **Entry:**

The process of disembarking, leaving the area of immigration control, and officially entering the country.

#### Arrival:

Has no legal meaning - it simply means to reach the end of your journey.

This stance immediately criminalises asylum-seekers, leaving them no time to declare themselves.

Criminalising 'arrival' means asylumseekers landing in the UK could receive a prison sentence of up to 4 years.

# **Criminalising Assistance**

Currently the maximum sentence is 14 years if you aid an asylum-seeker's arrival into the country for financial gain.

### The Bill changes this in two ways:

- The sentence is increased to a maximum of life
- Financial gain is no longer a condition for sentencing

This doesn't apply to groups who have a stated aim to 'assist' asylum-seekers, however 'assist' is a vague term.

Additionally it will put people who rescue those crossing the channel, i.e. the RNLI in a legally precarious position.

## The Impact

The changes proposed by this bill will criminalise both people seeking asylum and those that help them.

In turn, people will be forced into **more precarious and perilous situations** — increasing the population of people living undocumented, without access to state support.

When making a journey, most asylumseekers will not know that it is illegal to 'arrive' in the UK.

When arriving, they would be considered inadmissible and legally penalised for seeking safety.



## The government's answer:

The government is asking asylumseekers to use 'safe, legal routes'.



## What does this mean?

The UN's 1951 Refugee Convention lays out people's right to seek safety; this is not required to be via a certain 'route'.

The government currently has only 3 resettlement schemes, which each have specific criteria. Therefore, for many asylum-seekers these schemes are not an option - and for those who may apply to them, they are hugely oversubscribed.

The UNHCR says that, globally, **only 1%** of people recognised as refugees are able to be resettled.

Resettlement schemes also neglect to recognise that people fleeing for their lives cannot often move in prescribed, uniform ways. In reality, people are seeking safety through whichever means they can.



If you want to get involved with the work **No Borders MCR** does, or have an idea for a zine topic you'd like to see covered, please get in touch via;

@nobordersmcr
or
zine@nobordersmcr.com

Additionally, if you can afford to, please consider donating via;

paypal.me/nobordersmcr